

25X1A

OGC Has Reviewed  
CRC, 10/14/2003

DDA Registry  
File Bedg + Gho - 9

[Redacted]  
John F. Blake  
Deputy Director for Administration  
Room 7D-24, Headquarters

5454

DDA 76-4210

20 August 1976

Deputy to the DCI for  
the Intelligence  
Community

Dan:

25X1A

Attached is the journal  
of the Office of Legislative  
Counsel for 3 August. It  
contains entries concerning  
conversations with Snodgrass  
on the [Redacted]  
Building.

I have developed an  
additional thought on this  
matter. Would you be good  
enough to buzz me upon your  
reading of the attachment.

/s/ John F. Blake

John F. Blake

Att

Attachments (As Stated)

Distribution:

Orig RS - D/DCI/IC w/att  
1 RS - DDA Subject w/att  
1 RS - DDA Chrono w/o att  
1 RS - JFB Chrono w/o att

DDA:JFBBlake:der (20 August 1976)

Downgrade to AEUO  
Upon Removal of Enclosure

25X1

25X1

18. [ ] GLC) LIAISON Called Randy Shaw, in the office of Representative Michael Harrington (D., Mass.), in response to his earlier call asking for comments on ambiguities concerning Vietnam. I told Shaw that everyone at the Agency felt that the major questions regarding Vietnam had been raised and sufficiently covered. Shaw thanked me for checking.

25X1

25X1A

25X1A

25X1

19. [ ] GLC) LIAISON David Martin, Senate Judiciary Internal Security Subcommittee staff, called and asked me to check and see what [ ] is doing. Martin had gotten a letter from Bill Stoneman, a personal friend, who had inquired about [ ]. I told Martin I would check and get back in touch. [ ] is handling.

25X1A

20. [ ] - GLC) ADMINISTRATIVE Russ Rourke, White House staff, called regarding the proposed IC staff move. We discussed the application of a waiver and Rourke mentioned three alternatives: 1) have the President issue a waiver under his authorities, 2) have the Agency issue a waiver under its authorities, or 3) go to the Appropriations Committees. I told him I believed Tony Lapham, our General Counsel, had decided that Agency authorities did not apply in this case. Rourke also asked about the House Appropriations Committee. I told him that they were in favor of a separate Intelligence Community, but the subject of a Presidential waiver had not been raised with them. Rourke also mentioned that there was a 2 August deadline and asked whether this was the date when the bid would be awarded. I told him I would check on all this and be back in touch.

After talking with Lapham and Chuck Snodgrass, House Appropriations Committee staff, I called Rourke back and told him that the move is consistent with the House Committee's feelings, although Presidential review had not been discussed, and their only problem was the cost which they asked be reduced. I told Rourke that language had been included in the House Appropriations Committee report. Lapham said that the 2 August deadline was the date when contractors could withdraw their bids, but there is no indication that any will. Also Lapham said that Agency authorities are not applicable here but the President has a different kind of determination on which to base a waiver. Rourke appreciated the response and thanked me for my help. He said they would make a decision tomorrow.

25X1

21. [ ] JK) DELIVERY Delivered to Charles Snodgrass, House Appropriations Defense Subcommittee staff, a package concerning questions on the 1977 CIA Budget Justification Book for the Office of Comptroller.

Journal - Office of Legislative Council  
Tuesday - 3 August 1976

25X1

22. [ ] GLC) LIAISON Called Chuck Snodgrass, House Appropriations Committee staff, with regard to a call I received from Russ Rourke, White House staff, concerning the proposed IC staff move. I asked Snodgrass whether there would be any problem if we had to come to the Committee in order to receive a waiver from going the public buildings route. Snodgrass said the Committee fully endorsed moving the IC staff to a separate building and so stated in their Committee report. He said Chairman George Mahon had a problem with the proposed cost and asked that it be reduced. At this time the Committee's position on this matter is that they fully support the IC staff being in a separate building, would like it done as cheaply as possible, and that the Chairman and the Committee have not addressed the question of whether this should go through the Public Buildings Act.

[ ]

25X1A

GEORGE L. CARY  
Legislative Counsel

cc:  
O/DGI  
O/DDCI  
Ex. Sec.  
DDA DDI DDS&T  
SA/DO/O

25X1A

[ ]

IC Staff  
Compt  
NIO

25X1